

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Cody Gleason](#)  
**Subject:** CU16-0022 - Shangri-La  
**Date:** Tuesday, December 20, 2016 12:57:05 PM

---

To :cody.gleason@peoriaaz.gov

I am requesting a hearing, and appealing the decision made on December 20, 2016 by the Planning and Zoning Commission in reference to CU16-0022 -PHO Shangri-La. I would welcome, the opportunity to address the City Council on the many issues that were not addressed by the Planning and Zoning Hearing, which mainly addressed cosmetic appearance of this proposed site. There was no reference, to the many issues involved, such as this proposed site was first off, for the expansion of Verizon's 4G network, which refers to fourth generation of mobile internet services, allowing for faster down loads of data , not cellular communications. The denial of this Permit in no way would affect cellular communications or inhibit, use of any cell phone use in this area. This request by Verizon was made with the reasoning that they needed to cover a half mile radius of this site with this service. However, there was not one mention, of how many customers would be affected one way or another by this dire need to have this tower which will be maintain by a third party, and no mention of what proposed agreements that were made to the terms of this agreement were mention. When the subject matter of a existing, 65 foot Verizon tower located, three tenth of a mile from this location was brought up and the question, of why was it a necessity to have another 65foot tower so close to each other the excuse was the coverage area was greater and it would allow for other service providers to be added to this site. Additionally, Verizon has another 83foot tower within 1.71 miles from this site and a 105 foot tower 1.75 miles from the proposed site. Yet they need to blow past the restriction of 50ft and have another 65ft tower. So it is a contradiction, that the taller the tower, the further the coverage area. If this is the case then there is no need, other than a commercial endeavor to allow this to go forward. The applicant ( Verizon ) will be handing off the responsibility of this site to a third party once it is approved. This applicant, also gave the appearance that this was an application by a utility and it was implied, and compared to that of utilities having the right of way and it was totally apparent that the Planning and Zoning Commissioners were ill equipped to ask pertinent questions of this applicant and failed to up-hold the zoning regulations of the city of Peoria. The residents will be ill, served if there is not a full hearing in front of the City Council and to have these and other questions answered, rather than rubber stamping a completely commercial endeavor by a third party. I will await your reply.

Respectfully Yours

deCiutiis

Ernest

12/20/2016