



## **Public Input**

### **Plan 4**

#### **Likes:**

- There is no way to select a map that doesn't divide certain neighborhoods, but this one seems to be the most logical.
- Nothing....
- It keeps the districts together.
- Clean, even boundaries in between districts
- Willow district is more contiguous, not split in half like some of the other proposed maps. Acacia district's northern boundary aligns with Cactus Road cleanly. Palo Verde extends west of the 101 along Cactus Road.
- Good map; compact districts
- Very Compact
- The least amount of gerrymandering. 1st choice
- Good split of Pine and Acacia
- Very compact districts
- Compact. Recognizes need to move districts north. County areas are contained in one district. clean straight borders

#### **Dislikes:**

- I do not believe it is productive to divide neighborhoods among districts.
- Mesquite district may not allow for enough growth in the near future.
- Divides up some neighborhoods
- Old Town split, West Wing Split; Fletcher Heights and Dove Wing split.
- Increase of Willow to the...
- Nothing
- Does Westwing have more than one HOA? If not it divides Westwing. Also divides Fletcher Heights

#### **Other Comments:**

- I think it is best for obvious street or natural contours to divide districts. Please vote no on this option.
- Seems like a logical district map. To accommodate Mesquite growth, use a growth projection and adjust the boundaries of the other districts while keeping them in one piece. By far the best district map.
- This is my favorite of the proposals - cleanest boundary lines and neighborhood are left largely intact and not split up.
- Equal number of residents and compactness
- Too many HOA's are split. This is my #3 map
- Looks good- #1 pick
- Mirrors future direction in 3-4 years redistricting. While Fletcher Heights and Westwing are divided in this map, the next redistricting will put them back together.



## **Public Input**

### **Plan 25**

#### **Likes:**

- Nothing....
- Nothing
- NOTHING
- This is my least favorite
- Not much
- Nothing!

#### **Dislikes:**

- While Happy Valley seems a natural dividing line, I believe neighborhoods along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve should be w/in the same district.
- It looks like it is gerrymandered for some purpose
- Seems gerrymandered around the middle of the city.
- Willow district is forced to wrap oddly around the Willow district, almost splitting it in two. Pine district's narrow reach along the Loop 101 corridor, touching three different districts along the way.
- Gerrymander
- Too gerrymandered for Willow. Pine is too long.
- Too much gerrymandering
- Willow district runs down, both sides of Ironwood District
- Willow is gerrymandered Pine is not compact

#### **Other Comments:**

- Any neighborhood along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve should remain w/in the same district.
- Does not seem to keep districts together, hence loss of common interests and concerns A very poor choice for districts
- NOT a logical option.
- Least favorite of the four proposals.
- I had hoped that our new council would consider the overall city, and not try to place special neighborhoods in certain districts
- Not compact enough. This is my #5 Map
- Not a good map



## **Public Input**

### **Plan 31**

#### **Likes:**

- LOVE that this map keeps West Wing and neighboring Sunrise Mtn Preserve neighborhoods in the same district!
- It keeps the districts together.
- Even distribution
- Palo Verde map is allowed to cross west of the Loop 101 freeway. Ironwood district's southern boundary follows a natural geographical boundary.
- Good map; compact districts
- Very compact. Dove Valley Ranch, Camino a Lago, West Wing all in one district and not split.
- 3rd Choice
- Boundaries overall clean
- Do not like this map.

#### **Dislikes:**

- Nothing....
- Grand Ave is a natural boundary but two districts are divided by Grand
- Dislike having the Palo Verde district reach south of Cactus for one neighborhood near 67th Avenue.
- I love this map!
- Boundary between Ironwood & Palo Verde district not very straight
- Borders of Ironwood move east. Not the future direction of Ironwood. North area belongs in Palo Verde

#### **Other Comments:**

- Pretty please pick this option! It's about preserving future open space in our northern city limits and managing growth responsibly!
- Pine district is divided by Grand with only a small segment of that district north of Grand with the bulk of the district south. The two segments may have different needs. The second best district map
- Second favorite proposed may, behind Plan 4
- My favorite. Try and make Greenway in Desert Harbor a straight line. This is my #1 map.
- #3 pick
- Ironwood district will not be as strong of a supporter of Entertainment District as they move North. Trail system is used by Southern portion of city and has openings to the Skunk creek; they need to have representation that has most people affected by this. New passageway is opening when homes are built on 77th and another passageway on Greenway. Same representative needs both openings and the Skunk Creek.



## **Public Input**

### **Plan 35**

#### **Likes:**

- Nothing
- Pine and Arcadia districts are contiguous
- NOTHING
- Not much at all.
- Not my favorite
- Nothing
- Nothing

#### **Dislikes:**

- The fact you divide Sunrise Mtn Preserve neighborhoods....
- Willow is segmented and gives the impression of gerrymandering.
- Gerrymandered yet again. Why does Willow extend from Jomax south to Bell? Makes no sense, boundaries aren't contiguous. Neighborhoods are divided across the city.
- Willow district's odd shape. Makes no sense why we'd allow Willow district to seep into the Palo Verde district south of Bell. This district should better represent - and focus its representation on - the population between Jomax and Deer Valley.
- Gerrymander;
- Gerrymandered, HOA's are split.
- Too much gerrymandering
- Ironwood and Willow not very straight borders. Willow runs way down to Palo Verde district
- Continuation of gerrymandering of Willow

#### **Other Comments:**

- Please vote no on this option.
- Districts should be as contiguous as much as possible to share needs and representation
- Please do not select this map. Horrible option.
- In my opinion, this is the worst of the five options.
- I thought our new council would rise above such gerrymandering; did Leone have his supporters push for this plan??
- Not Compact. This is my #4 map.
- Willow district scattered
- This does not reflect future growth of City



## **Public Input**

### **Plan 38**

#### **Likes:**

- This would be my plan B, but not a total fan.
  - Districts are connected to themselves
  - Boundaries are naturally occurring and don't divide neighborhoods.
  - Better than Plan 35 in that Willow district is more contiguous, Acacia district is more sensibly sized/shaped.
  - Compact
  - 2nd Choice
  - I like this one best, as a resident of Palo Verde, it allows my district a little growth, but keeps Willow from increasing south.
  - Districts pretty compact
  - Clean, compact lines are drawn. keeps county islands in one district. This is my favorite map because it causes clear representation of stakeholders.
- Most likely the next redistricting will place all of the areas South of Bell into three compact districts, and North of Bell into three compact areas.

#### **Dislikes:**

- I think this divides too many neighborhoods along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve....
- Willow is divided by Ironwood district. Different parts of Willow may have different needs. Who gets served when the East side has needs separate from the West side.
- Ironwood splits a good part of the Willow district in half; Palo Verde reaches south of Cactus into an area that should be kept in the Acacia district.
- Gerrymander
- Desert Harbor is split. Willow is less compact.
- Palo Verde drops below Cactus
- Small area of Desert Harbor still in Ironwood. They are a separate HOA and will keep their representative

#### **Other Comments:**

- I honestly feel that if there is a way to take Mesquite south to Pinnacle Peak as the divide, that would be the best. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE CITY FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT! THIS PROCESS IS SPECIAL! WELL DONE!
- Willow is too divided A poor choice for redistricting
- Interesting concept for Pine District, though I think Plan 4 does a better job overall with Acacia and Pine.
- I thought our new council would rise above such gerrymandering; did Leone have his supporters push for this plan??
- This is my #2 map.
- #2 Pick
- This seems to do the best of keeping neighborhoods and neighborhood interests intact



# City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input Form

## Review of Final Plans

The City of Peoria is required to redistrict, or rebalance, our six council districts after each census. The City conducted a special mid-decade census in 2015 and is now redistricting for the 2018 council elections. The City received 39 proposed maps through an online mapping application during the 1st round of public participation and 5 maps have been selected as finalists. The 2nd round of public participation is now open and feedback will be accepted on the 5 finalist maps through April 21st. Comments can be submitted using this form online or in person at one of the open houses that will take place on April 11th, 12th and 13th.

**After viewing the five proposed maps, please let us know your thoughts about each map**

### PLAN 4

---

What do you like about this map?

Very compact.

What do you dislike about this map?

Old Town split + westwing split.  
Fletcher Heights + Dove Valley Ranch split.

Other comments about this map:

Too many HOAs are split.

This is my #3 map.

### PLAN 25

---

What do you like about this map?

This is my least favorite.

What do you dislike about this map?

Too gerrymandered for Willow.  
Pine is too long.

Other comments about this map:

Not compact enough.

This is my  
#5 map



### PLAN 31

What do you like about this map?

Very compact. Dove Valley Ranch  
Cannon a Cugo  
Westwing All in one district and not split.

What do you dislike about this map?

I love this map.

Other comments about this map:

My favorite  
Try and make Greenway in Desert Harbor or straight line  
This is my #1 map

### PLAN 35

What do you like about this map?

Not my favorite.

What do you dislike about this map?

Gerry neighborhood. HOAs are split

Other comments about this map:

Not compact.

This is my #4 map

### PLAN 38

What do you like about this map?

Desert Harbor is split.  
Compact.

What do you dislike about this map?

Willow is less compact.

Other comments about this map:

This is my #2 map

### OPTIONAL

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact: \_\_\_\_\_

District: \_\_\_\_\_

Print

Submit

Print



# City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input Form

## Review of Final Plans

The City of Peoria is required to redistrict, or rebalance, our six council districts after each census. The City conducted a special mid-decade census in 2015 and is now redistricting for the 2018 council elections. The City received 39 proposed maps through an online mapping application during the 1st round of public participation and 5 maps have been selected as finalists. The 2nd round of public participation is now open and feedback will be accepted on the 5 finalist maps through April 21st. Comments can be submitted using this form online or in person at one of the open houses that will take place on April 11th, 12th and 13th.

**After viewing the five proposed maps, please let us know your thoughts about each map**

### PLAN 4

What do you like about this map?

*The least amount of gerrymandering. 1st Choice*

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

### PLAN 25

What do you like about this map?

What do you dislike about this map?

*Too much gerrymandering*

Other comments about this map:



**PLAN 31**

What do you like about this map?

*3rd choice*

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

**PLAN 35**

What do you like about this map?

What do you dislike about this map?

*Too much gerrymandering.*

Other comments about this map:

**PLAN 38**

What do you like about this map?

*2nd choice*

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

**OPTIONAL**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Contact: \_\_\_\_\_

District: \_\_\_\_\_

Print

Submit

Print



# City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input Form

## Review of Final Plans

The City of Peoria is required to redistrict, or rebalance, our six council districts after each census. The City conducted a special mid-decade census in 2015 and is now redistricting for the 2018 council elections. The City received 39 proposed maps through an online mapping application during the 1st round of public participation and 5 maps have been selected as finalists. The 2nd round of public participation is now open and feedback will be accepted on the 5 finalist maps through April 21st. Comments can be submitted using this form online or in person at one of the open houses that will take place on April 11th, 12th and 13th.

**After viewing the five proposed maps, please let us know your thoughts about each map**

### PLAN 4

---

What do you like about this map?

*good split of pine and acacia*

What do you dislike about this map?

*increase of willow to th*

Other comments about this map:

### PLAN 25

---

What do you like about this map?

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

### PLAN 31

---

What do you like about this map?

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

### PLAN 35

---

What do you like about this map?

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

### PLAN 38

---

What do you like about this map? I like this one best, as a resident of Palo Verde, it allows my district a little growth, but keeps willows from encroaching South U

What do you dislike about this map?

Other comments about this map:

### OPTIONAL

---

Name: Gene Wood Contact: [REDACTED]

District: Palo Verde

[Print](#)

[Submit](#)

[Print](#)



# City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input Form

## Review of Final Plans

The City of Peoria is required to redistrict, or rebalance, our six council districts after each census. The City conducted a special mid-decade census in 2015 and is now redistricting for the 2018 council elections. The City received 39 proposed maps through an online mapping application during the 1st round of public participation and 5 maps have been selected as finalists. The 2nd round of public participation is now open and feedback will be accepted on the 5 finalist maps through April 21st. Comments can be submitted using this form online or in person at one of the open houses that will take place on April 11th, 12th and 13th.

**After viewing the five proposed maps, please let us know your thoughts about each map**

### PLAN 4

---

What do you like about this map?

Very compact districts

What do you dislike about this map?

Nothing

Other comments about this map:

Looks good #1 pick

### PLAN 25

---

What do you like about this map?

not much.

What do you dislike about this map?

willow district runs down  
Both sides of Ironwood district

Other comments about this map:



### PLAN 31

What do you like about this map?

Boundaries overall clean

What do you dislike about this map?

Boundary between Ironwood + Palo Verde  
not very straight,

Other comments about this map:

#3 pick

### PLAN 35

What do you like about this map?

nothing

What do you dislike about this map?

Ironwood + Willow not very straight borders  
Willow runs way down to Palo Verde district

Other comments about this map:

Willow district scattered

### PLAN 38

What do you like about this map?

districts pretty compact

What do you dislike about this map?

Palo Verde drops below Cactus.

Other comments about this map:

#2 pick

### OPTIONAL

Name:

MIKE HEATH

Contact:

District:

PALO VERDE





# City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input Form

## Review of Final Plans

The City of Peoria is required to redistrict, or rebalance, our six council districts after each census. The City conducted a special mid-decade census in 2015 and is now redistricting for the 2018 council elections. The City received 39 proposed maps through an online mapping application during the 1st round of public participation and 5 maps have been selected as finalists. The 2nd round of public participation is now open and feedback will be accepted on the 5 finalist maps through April 21st. Comments can be submitted using this form online or in person at one of the open houses that will take place on April 11th, 12th and 13th.

**After viewing the five proposed maps, please let us know your thoughts about each map**

### PLAN 4

---

What do you like about this map?

compact. recognizes need to move districts north. county areas are contained in one district. clean straight borders

What do you dislike about this map?

Does Westwing have more than one HOA? if not it divides Westwing  
Also divides Fletcher Heights

Other comments about this map:

mirrors future direction in 3-4 years redistricting  
While Fletcher Heights and Westwing are divided in this map, the next redistricting will put them back together.

### PLAN 25

---

What do you like about this map?

Nothing!

What do you dislike about this map?

Willow is gerrymandered Pine is not compact

Other comments about this map:

Not a good map

## PLAN 31

---

What do you like about this map?

Do not like this map

What do you dislike about this map?

Boarders of Ironwood move East. Not the future direction of Ironwood. North area belongs in Paloverde

Other comments about this map:

Ironwood district will not be as strong of a supporter of Entertainment District as they move North.  
Trail system is used by Southern portion of city and has openings to the Skunk creek; they need to have representation that has most people affected by this. New passageway is opening when homes are built on 77th and another passageway on Greenway. Same representative needs both openings and the Skunk Creek.

## PLAN 35

---

What do you like about this map?

Nothing

What do you dislike about this map?

continuation of gerrymandering of Willow

Other comments about this map:

This does not reflect future growth of city

## PLAN 38

---

What do you like about this map?

clean, compact lines are drawn. keeps county islands in one district  
This is my favorite map because it causes clear representation of stakeholders.  
Most likely the next redistricting will place all of the areas South of Bell into three compact districts, and North of Bell into three compact areas.

What do you dislike about this map?

Small area of Desert Harbor still in Ironwood. They are a separate HOA and will keep their representative

Other comments about this map:

This seems to do the best of keeping neighborhoods and neighborhood interests intact

## OPTIONAL

---

Name: Joan Evans Contact: jyvans@gmail.com 623.680.9120

District: Willow

Reset

Submit

Print


## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Saturday, April 08, 2017 2:29 PM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/8/2017 2:28:42 PM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	It keeps the districts together.
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	Mesquite district may not allow for enough growth in the near future.
PLAN4 Other Comments	Seems like a logical district map. To accommodate Mesquite growth use a growth projection and adjust the boundaries of the other districts while keeping them in one piece. By far the best district map.
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	Nothing
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	It looks like it is gerrymandered for some purpose
PLAN25 Other Comments	Does not seem to keep districts together, hence loss of common interests and concerns A very poor choice for districts
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	It keeps the districts together.
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	Grand Ave is a natural boundary but two districts are divided by Grand
PLAN31 Other Comments	Pine district is divided by Grand with only a small segment of that district north of Grand with the bulk of the district south. The two segments may have different needs. The second best district map
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	Pine and Arcadia districts are contiguous
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	Willow is segmented and gives the impression of gerrymandering.
PLAN35 Other Comments	Districts should be as contiguous as much as possible to share needs and representation
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	Districts are connected to themselves

Field	Value
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	Willow is divided by Ironwood district. Different parts of Willow may have different needs. Who gets served when the East side has needs separate from the West side.
PLAN38 Other Comments	Willow is too divided A poor choice for redistricting
Name	John Kendig
Contact	
District	Pine

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/8/2017 2:28:42 PM. The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).

## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 06, 2017 7:17 PM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/6/2017 7:17:03 PM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	Nothing....
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	I do not believe it is productive to divide neighborhoods among districts.
PLAN4 Other Comments	I think it is best for obvious street or natural contours to divide districts.Please vote no on this option.
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	Nothing....
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	While Happy Valley seems a natural dividing line, I believe neighborhoods along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve should be w/in the same district.
PLAN25 Other Comments	Any neighborhood along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve should remain w/in the same district.
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	LOVE that this map keeps West Wing and neighboring Sunrise Mtn Preserve neighborhoods in the same district!
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	Nothing....
PLAN31 Other Comments	Pretty please pick this option! It's about preserving future open space in our northern city limits and managing growth responsibly!
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	Nothing
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	The fact you divide Sunrise Mtn Preserve neighborhoods....
PLAN35 Other Comments	Please vote no on this option.
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	This would be my plan B, but not a total fan.



Field	Value
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	I think this divides too many neighborhoods along the Sunrise Mtn Preserve....
PLAN38 Other Comments	I honestly feel that if there is a way to take Mesquite south to Pinnacle Peak as the divide, that would be the best. I ALSO WANT TO THANK THE CITY FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT! THIS PROCESS IS SPECIAL! WELL DONE!
Name	Diane Grialou
Contact	
District	Mesquite

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/6/2017 7:17:03 PM. The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).

## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 06, 2017 1:31 PM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/6/2017 1:31:27 PM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	There is no way to select a map that doesn't divide certain neighborhoods, but this one seems to be the most logical.
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN4 Other Comments	
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN25 Other Comments	
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN31 Other Comments	
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN35 Other Comments	
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN38 Other Comments	

Field	Value
Name	
Contact	
District	Mesquite

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/6/2017 1:31:27 PM.  
The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).

## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Monday, April 10, 2017 8:53 AM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/10/2017 8:52:52 AM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	Clean, even boundaries in between districts
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	Divides up some neighborhoods
PLAN4 Other Comments	
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	NOTHING
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	Seems gerrymandered around the middle of the city.
PLAN25 Other Comments	NOT a logical option.
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	Even distribution
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN31 Other Comments	
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	NOTHING
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	Gerrymandered yet again. Why does Willow extend from Jomax south to Bell? Makes no sense, boundaries aren't contiguous. Neighborhoods are divided across the city.
PLAN35 Other Comments	Please do not select this map. Horrible option.
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	Boundaries are naturally occurring and don't divide neighborhoods.
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN38 Other Comments	

Field	Value
Name	
Contact	
District	Willow

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/10/2017 8:52:52 AM.  
The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).



## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Thursday, April 13, 2017 8:54 PM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/13/2017 8:54:01 PM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	Willow district is more contiguous, not split in half like some of the other proposed maps. Acacia district's northern boundary aligns with Cactus Road cleanly. Palo Verde extends west of the 101 along Cactus Road.
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN4 Other Comments	This is my favorite of the proposals - cleanest boundary lines and neighborhood are left largely intact and not split up.
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	Willow district is forced to wrap oddly around the Willow district, almost splitting it in two. Pine district's narrow reach along the Loop 101 corridor, touching three different districts along the way.
PLAN25 Other Comments	Least favorite of the four proposals.
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	Palo Verde map is allowed to cross west of the Loop 101 freeway. Ironwood district's southern boundary follows a natural geographical boundary.
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	Dislike having the Palo Verde district reach south of Cactus for one neighborhood near 67th Avenue.
PLAN31 Other Comments	Second favorite proposed may, behind Plan 4
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	Not much at all.
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	Willow district's odd shape. Makes no sense why we'd allow Willow district to seep into the Palo Verde district south of Bell. This district should better represent - and focus its representation on - the population between Jomax and Deer Valley.
PLAN35 Other Comments	In my opinion, this is the worst of the five options.
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	Better than Plan 35 in that Willow district is more contiguous, Acacia district is more sensibly sized/shaped.

Field	Value
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	Ironwood splits a good part of the Willow district in half, Palo Verde reaches south of Cactus into an area that should be kept in the Acacia district.
PLAN38 Other Comments	Interesting concept for Pine District, though I think Plan 4 does a better job overall with Acacia and Pine.
Name	Jeff Nelson
Contact	
District	Palo Verde

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/13/2017 8:54:01 PM. The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).

## Timothy Smothers

---

**From:** Web Master  
**Sent:** Friday, April 14, 2017 5:35 PM  
**To:** Beth Ullinger  
**Cc:** WebStaff  
**Subject:** Redistricting Input

Data from form "City of Peoria Redistricting Public Input" was received on 4/14/2017 5:35:27 PM.

Field	Value
Plan4 What Do You LIKE	Good map; compact districts
PLAN4 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN4 Other Comments	Equal number of residents and compactness
Plan25 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN25 What Do You DISLIKE	Gerrymander
PLAN25 Other Comments	I had hoped that our new council would consider the overall city, and not try to place special neighborhoods in certain districts
Plan31 What Do You LIKE	Good map; compact districts
PLAN31 What Do You DISLIKE	
PLAN31 Other Comments	
Plan35 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN35 What Do You DISLIKE	Gerrymander;
PLAN35 Other Comments	I thought our new council would rise above such gerrymandering; did Leone have his supporters push for this plan??
Plan38 What Do You LIKE	
PLAN38 What Do You DISLIKE	Geerymander
PLAN38 Other Comments	I thought our new council would rise above such gerrymandering; did Leone have his supporters push for this plan??

Field	Value
Name	
Contact	
District	Pine

Email "Redistricting Input" originally sent to [Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:Beth.Ullinger@peoriaaz.gov) from [webmaster@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webmaster@peoriaaz.gov) on 4/14/2017 5:35:27 PM.  
The following were also sent a copy: [webstaff@peoriaaz.gov](mailto:webstaff@peoriaaz.gov).